UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,001	12/10/2001	Ynjiun P. Wang	T075A	3855
23623 7590 05/17/2007 AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER			EXAMINER	
			JEANTY, ROMAIN	
	24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/016,001	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Romain Jeanty	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 N	March 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	· · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the</li> </ul>	cepted or b) objected to by the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	·				
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         <ul> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

## **DETAILED ACTIOM**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 1, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1-2, 4, 16-20, 22, 26-27, 31-34 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4, 16-20, 22, 26-27, 31-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 16-23, and 26-28, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,978,773) in view of Anderson (U.S. Patent No. 5,974,396).

Art Unit: 3623

As to claims 1 and 3, Hudetz et al disclose:

selectively receiving identification information from a uniform product code (UPC) bar code symbol on a product through a transducer at a user terminal (i.e. receiving from a user's scanner/barcode reader) (col. 5 lines10-29; col. 6, lines 26-32; col. 8, lines 38-43).

providing an associated table in a database between the UPC symbol data and an Internet web site address affiliated with the product manufacturer (col. 7, lines 17-28 and col. 7, lines 64 through col. 8, line 10);

loading the associated web site address to a computing device of the consumer for allowing the consumer to make a product information inquiry to said web site address

providing the associated web site address to a remote computing device of the consumer for allowing the consumer to make a product information inquiry to said web site address, (e.g., displaying a web page having a URL for the user to click on to make a product inquiry; col. 7, lines 45-57) and selectively receiving additional consumer queries to allow for further refining the information inquiry (col. 7, lines 1-48),

Hudetz et al do not explicitly disclose transmitting demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer. Anderson in the same of endeavor, discloses a consumer application subsystem which provides a mechanism by which consumers provide various demographic and other characteristic information, and a retailer querying said relational database using selected criteria, accumulates data generated by the database in response to that query. Note col. 6, lines 24-48 of Anderson. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Anderson. A person having ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/016,001

Art Unit: 3623

the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to make business and marketing decisions based on that accumulated data.

As per claim 2, Hudetz et al disclose the bar code reader being provided at the user's terminal (see figure 1).

As per claims 16 and 21, Hudetz et al disclose:

Scanning identifying indicia on a product with a barcode (UPC) bar code symbol (col. 8, lines 38-43); and

Determining at least one web site address affiliated with the scanned product utilizing a Mapping Service Provider (See figure 8 element 224; col. 9, lines 14-22).

Providing product information to the consumer by requesting and loading a web page associated with the at least one web site address (See figure 3, element 92 and col. 9, lines 54-64).

Hudetz et al do not explicitly disclose transmitting demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer. Anderson in the same of endeavor, discloses a consumer application subsystem which provides a mechanism by which consumers provide various demographic and other characteristic information, and a retailer querying said relational database using selected criteria, accumulates data generated by the database in response to that query. Note col. 6, lines 24-48 of Anderson. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Anderson. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to make business and marketing decisions based on that accumulated data.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 17, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the identifying indicia is a uniform product code (UPC) (col. 6, lines 15-20).

As per claim 18, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the Mapping Service Provider (MSP) employs a mapping function to match identifying indicia to a web site address from among a list of identifying indicia and web site address mappings residing in a storage device (col. 7, lines 29-42; col. 8, lines 47-63; col. 9, lines 5-13).

As per claim 19, Hudetz et al further disclose wherein the web page includes at least one link to a related web page (col. 9, lines 14-22).

As per claim 20, Hudetz et al show the domain name for the links. Thus, it infers that the domain name goes through a domain name server to translate it into the proper numerical addressing sequence use by the Internet (See figure 4; and col. 5, lines 55-65).

As per claims 22-23, and 34, Hudetz et al disclose:

Scanning identifying indicia on a product with a barcode (UPC) bar code symbol (col. 8, lines 38-43); and

Determining at least one web site address affiliated with the scanned product utilizing a Mapping Service Provider (See figure 8 element 24; col. 9, lines 14-22).

Providing product information to the consumer by requesting and loading a web page associated with the at least one web site address (See figure 3, element 92 and col. 9, lines 54-64).

Hudetz et al do not explicitly disclose transmitting demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer.

Anderson in the same of endeavor, discloses a consumer application subsystem which provides a

Application/Control Number: 10/016,001

Page 6

Art Unit: 3623

mechanism by which consumers provide various demographic and other characteristic information, and a retailer querying said relational database using selected criteria, accumulates data generated by the database in response to that query. Note col. 6, lines 24-48 of Anderson. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Hudetz et al to include the teachings of Anderson et al. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such combination in order to make business and marketing decisions based on that accumulated data.

Regarding claims 27-33, the claimed features are standard practice in the marketing art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include such features in order to allow users to request and obtain product information, thereby increasing marketing sales for a manufacturer and allowing the manufactures to efficiently maximizing market profitability.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al and Anderson et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kaplan (U.S. Patent No. 5,963,916).

As per claim 4, the combination of Hudetz et al and Anderson does not explicitly disclose providing targeted e-mails to the consumer for product announcements by the manufacturer. Kaplan on the other hand, discloses sending a product notification to a user. Note column 16, lines 16-26. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Hudetz et al and Anderson et al to include an e-mail notification as taught by Kaplan with the motivation to encourage a user to purchase certain desired products from the manufacturer, thereby increasing marketing sales for the manufacturer.

## Conclusion

6. Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 5,924,080), discloses the concept of utilizing a computer network for allowing a consumer to send demographic information to a database and allowing the consumer to request product information, and in return transmitting the consumer's demographic information to a manufacturer of the product. Note col. line through col. 4, line 53 through col. 6, line 41 of Johnson.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 13, 2007

Application/Control Number: 10/016,001

Art Unit: 3623

Romain Jeanly Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

Page 8